

Neural network technique for point source search :
comparison with sequential cut method (following)

- Introduction
- Sequential cut technique vs NN technique
- Analysis of zenith distributions
- Conclusions and outlook

Introduction

- Rejection of background and selection of signal in a sample of data: use of a neural network (multi-layer perceptron available in PAW)
- Comparison Neural Net \leftrightarrow optimized classical sequential method (“pedestrian method”)
- Pedestrian method : cuts on 8 analysis variables
 - Zenith(2)
 - Ndirb(2)
 - Ndirc(6)
 - Ldirb(6)
 - Smootallrl(6)
 - Ndira(2)
 - Smootallphit
 - Zenith(6)

Results:

$$\epsilon_s = 9 \%$$

$$\epsilon_b = 0.005 \%$$

$$R = 1650$$

Sequential cut technique vs Neural Network technique

- Same analysis variables are used
- The range of variation of the different analysis variables has been normalized to (0 , 1)
- Individual weights of MC taken into account for the training and efficiencies determination!
- Use of the best training methods (i.e. lmet n°4) and configuration (previous talk)
- The number of learning steps is 1000
- Number of events in training samples such that equal influence from the bg and from the signal in the training
- Error function for training samples and for test samples is plotted → avoid overtraining
- Results (see table 1): signal efficiencies can (in certain configurations) be better (up to 30%) than those obtained with the sequential cut method

Analysis of zenith distributions

- Events are 'killed' by the NN at the horizon

Guiding principles to improve the training

a) only 1 zenith angle in the NN (zenith(2) and (6) strongly correlated)

b) zenith(6) used (more reliable than zenith(2))

c) no cut on zenith(2): keep events with $\text{zenith}(2) < 90$ and $\text{zenith}(6) > 90$

d) $\text{zenith}(6) > 90$ in order to avoid diluting useful information

- Results (see table 2)

Conclusions and outlook

- The use of neural networks can improve the signal efficiencies (up to 30% in certain configurations) compared to those obtained with the sequential cut method

BUT

- Events at the horizon are killed when a “strong” cut is applied on the NN output

(Rem : different signal cut efficiencies in different areas of the sky were also found with the “pedestrian method”)

For the future :

Use the Neural Net as a tool for energy reconstruction
(ref.: Heiko Geenen)